

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,795	03/09/2004		George Tzong-Chyi Tzeng	16641-002001	3168
26161	7590	11/15/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RIO P.O. BOX 10		SON PC	FOSTER, MARLEE CHRISTINE		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3731	
				DATE MAIL ED: 11/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· <b>S</b>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Autieur Communication	10/796,795	TZENG, GEORGE TZONG-CHYI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marlee C. Foster	3731
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	·
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	March 2004.	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ TI	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Application of the contraction of the	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment/c\		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date

Application/Control Number: 10/796,795 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-52, drawn to a method of manufacturing a stent, classified in class 29, subclass 450.
  - II. Claims 53-56, drawn to a stent, classified in class 623, subclass 1.15.
  - III. Claims 57-61, drawn to an apparatus for making a stent, classified in class 83, subclass 178 or 54.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be manufactured by another materially different process, such as attaching reinforced wire to a tubular graft to form a stent.
- 3. Inventions III and I are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 10/796,795

Art Unit: 3731

806.05(g)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to form a materially different product, such as forming a tube for a catheter by extrusion.

Page 3

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. For an election under Group I, Applicant is required to elect a single species:

  Claims 1-2 and 12-21 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species:
  - a. Claims 3-11, drawn to forming a medical apparatus by twisting loops using a magnetized clip.
  - b. Claims 22-28, drawn to forming loops of a coil by using a gas stream to heat and melt fibers.
  - c. Claims 29-32, drawn to winding a wire around an elongated member to form a coil.
  - d. Claims 33-46, drawn to a cutting a tube to form a coil.
  - e. Claims 47-48, drawn to forming a coil by extrusion.
- 6. The species are independent or distinct because they are drawn to a different process of manufacturing and require a different search. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument

Application/Control Number: 10/796,795

Art Unit: 3731

that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

7. A telephone call was made to Rocky Tsao on 6 November 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Application/Control Number: 10/796,795

Art Unit: 3731

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marlee C. Foster whose telephone number is (571) 272-5072. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Moles C. Assess

MCF 11/8/06

ANHTUANT. NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 5

U/U/06.